

Bergische Universität Wuppertal

Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften

Institute of Mathematical Modelling, Analysis and Computational Mathematics (IMACM)

Preprint BUW-IMACM 21/37

Sergey Pereselkov, Venedikt Kuz'kin, Matthias Ehrhardt and Kaznacheev Ilya

Experimental observation and analysis of the low-frequency source interference pattern

December 20, 2021

http://www.imacm.uni-wuppertal.de



Experimental observation and analysis of the low-frequency source interference pattern

Sergey Pereselkov^{1,*}, Venedikt Kuz'kin², Matthias Ehrhardt³, and Kaznacheev Ilya¹,

- ¹ Voronezh State University, Russia; pereselkov@yandex.ru
- ² Prokhorov General Physics Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia; kumiov@yandex.ru
- ³ University of Wuppertal, Gaußstraße 20, 42119 Wuppertal, Germany; ehrhardt@uni-wuppertal.de
- * Correspondence: e-mail@e-mail.com;

Abstract: The sound field interference pattern of broadband source in shallow water waveguide was 1 studied experimentally. The acoustic experiment was carried out on the Pacific shelf in 2004. The 2 acoustic signals were emitted by the airgun source (with frequency band 5-200 Hz). The source was 3 towed with speed 1.7 m/s at depth 15 m along different paths. Several bottom receivers were used 4 for recording acoustics signals. The experimental records are processed to obtain sound intensity 5 distributions (interferograms) $I(\omega, t)$ in frequency-time domain for different paths of source motion. The two-dimensional Fourier transform (2D-FT) is applied to analyze the experimental interferograms. 7 The result of the 2D-FT $F(\nu, \tau)$ can be called the Fourier-hologram (hologram). The hologram allows 8 us to coherently accumulate the sound intensity of interferogram in the narrow area as focal spots. It 9 is demonstrated in the paper that position of focal spots in the experimental hologram depends on 10 radial speed of the source, motion direction of the source and the distance between the source and the 11 receiver. As a result, the position of focal spots can be used for estimation of the source parameters. 12

Keywords: sound field; waveguide; interference pattern; hologram; source detection; vector sensor; 13 signal processing 14

1. Introduction

The normal-mode interference of sound field in underwater waveguide leads to 16 structured pattern that can be observed in sound intensity distribution in the frequency-17 time domain (Weston and Stevens^[1]) or frequency-range domain (Chuprov^[2]). The sound 18 field interference theory in underwater acoustics was offered by Chuprov [2]. He offered 19 the conception of the waveguide invariant - basic parameter of sound field interference 20 pattern. The more significant achievements in the interference theory are presented in the 21 following papers: Grachev[3], Orlov and Sharonov[4] and papers of Conf. Proc. ed. by 22 Kuperman and D'Spain[5]. 23

The developed interference theory in ocean waveguide allowed to solve a set of important problems of underwater acoustics: source localization (passive mode[6–9] and active mode[10]), remote sensing of geo-acoustic parameters[11], effective signal processing[12,13].

One of the important advancements of the interference theory is the approach to 28 the analysis of the interference pattern proposed in papers: Rouseff and Spinde [15], 29 Baggeroer[14], and Yang[16]. Within the framework of this approach the interference 30 pattern is considered as sound intensity distributions $I(\omega, r)$ in frequency-range domain 31 or $I(\omega, t)$ in frequency-time domain. The two-dimensional Fourier transform (2D-FT) of 32 $I(\omega, r)$ is applied to analyze sound intensity distributions. At first, this approach allows to 33 estimate waveguide invariant[15]. The estimation of waveguide invariant is the extremum 34 of the "reference" distribution of 2D-FT. Secondly, this approach allows to coherently 35 accumulate the sound intensity of interferogram in the narrow area as focal spots and rise 36 the signal-noise ratio (SNR) significantly[8,9]. 37

Citation: Pereselkov, S.; Kuz'kin, V.; Ehrhardt, M.; Kaznacheev, I. Title. *Journal Not Specified* **2022**, *1*, 0. https://doi.org/

Received: Accepted: Published:

Article

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted to *Journal Not Specified* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Figure 1. The experiment scheme. Top view.

The purpose of this paper is to present experimental observation of the interference pattern and results of analysis by 2D-FT. The experimental records are processed to obtain sound intensity distributions (interferograms) $I(\omega, t)$ in the frequency-time domain for different paths of source motion. The two-dimensional Fourier Transformation (2D-FT) is applied to analyze the experimental interferograms. The result of the 2D-FT $F(\nu, \tau)$ can be called the Fourier-hologram (hologram). The hologram allows us to coherently accumulate the sound intensity of interferogram in the narrow area as focal spots.

This paper consists of the three sections. The Pacific shelf experiment is described in the Sec. II. The theory of interferogram and hologram of moving source is presented in the Sec. III. The experimental results of interferograms and holograms are considered for different paths of source motion in the Sec. IV. It is demonstrated in the paper that the position of focal spots in the experimental hologram depends upon radial speed of source, motion direction and distance from a receiver. As a result, the displacement of focal spots in hologram domain can be used for estimation of source parameters mentioned above.

2. Experiment

The acoustics experiment was carried out on the Pacific shelf (Yellow Sea) in 2004. The 53 water depth was a $H \approx 53$ m. The sound speed in water layer $c \approx 1474$ m/s. These acoustic 54 parameters were approximately constant in experimental region. The airgun was used as 55 broadband sound source. The sound source was towed by research vessel. The source depth 56 was $z_s \approx 15$ m. The towing velocity was $v \approx 1.7$ m/s. The airgun had a pulse signature that 57 was found to be quite repeatable. The signal pulses were controlled by monitor hydrophone 58 located at distance of 2 m from the source. The airgun produced broadband pulses that had 59 consistently repeatable spectra in the band $\Delta f \approx 10-250$ Hz. The pulses were separated 60 with time interval T = 30 s. During the experiment, the signals of airgun source were 61 received by stationary vector-scalar receivers (VSR), which had channels for measuring 62 pressure and vibration velocity three components. The pressure measurement results from the VSR located at a depth $z_q \approx 52$ m are used for signal processing presented in the paper. 64 The received signals are analyzed in the band $\Delta f \approx 80 - 120$ Hz. The amplitude of airgun 65 pulses was normalized to the same value in order to keep constant the interference-pattern 66 contrast.

The motion geometry of the towed airgun source and the position of VSR Q_1 are shown in Fig. 1. The source moved along an arc of radius $r_0 \approx 11$ km from the initial point *A* to the point *B*. Point *A* was located approximately in the direction of the *y* axis of VSR Q_1 . At point *B*, the source motion was turned to the strait one. After point *B* the source approached the VSR Q_1 along straight-line path from point *B* to point *C*. The point *C* was located near the receiver VSR Q_1 . The distance between point *C* and the x axis was

 $r_C \approx 1$ km. At point *C* the source was turned and moved along a straight-line path away from VSR Q_1 to point *D*.

3. The sound field interference pattern of the moving source

Let us consider ocean waveguide as a water layer bounded in depth by free surface and bottom. We use the model of a uniform continuous spectrum of a source. We present the sound field intensity of the source as a superposition of the modes as the following:

$$I(\omega, r) = \sum_{m} \sum_{n} A_{m}(\omega, r) A_{m}^{*}(\omega) \exp[irh_{mn}(\omega)]$$
(1)

where $h_{mn}(\omega) = h_m(\omega) - h_n(\omega)$. Here $A_m(\omega, r)$ is mode amplitude, $h_m(\omega)$ is horizontal wavenumber of the *m*-th mode, $\omega = 2\pi f$ is the cyclical frequency of the source spectrum, and *r* is the distance between the source and receiver. The cylindrical divergence of the sound field and the mode attenuation are taken into account by the distance dependence of the mode amplitudes. The constant factor determining the source spectrum value is omitted in front of the sum. This factor is inessential for the following analysis. The right-hand part of Eq. (1), can be rewritten as the double sum of the terms corresponding to the interference of a modes pair :

$$I(\omega, r) = \sum_{m} \sum_{n} I_{mn}(\omega, r)$$
⁽²⁾

where

$$I_{mn}(\omega, r) = A_m(\omega, r) A_n^*(\omega, r) \exp[irh_{mn}(\omega)]$$
(3)

We assume, that the number of modes is equal to M, and the number of the first mode is m = 1.

Let us consider the signal spectrum in the frequency band $-\Delta\omega/2 + \omega_0 \le \omega \le \omega_0 + \Delta\omega/2$ and in the time interval $t_0 \le t \le t_0 + \Delta t$. The source-receiver distance increment is Δr within the observation time Δt . The initial source-receiver distance is r_0 at the initial time t_0 . In the case of the constant source velocity we can represent the source-receiver distance increment in the following form:

$$\Delta r = v_r \Delta t \left(\cos \varphi + v_r \Delta t \sin^2 \varphi / 2r_0 \right) \tag{4}$$

where φ is the angle between the source-receiver direction and the source motion direction, v_r is the radial velocity value. In the Eq. (4) the quadratic term is ignored under condition $\Delta t \ll 2r_0 \cos \varphi / v_r \sin^2 \varphi$. This condition imposes a restriction for the duration of observation depending on the velocity, the initial distance, and the angle of trajectory.

Let us put the right side of Eq. (4) in expressions Eq. (1)–Eq. (3). As a result, we pass from variable *r* in $I(\omega, r)$ to variable *t* in $I(\omega, t)$. Then we apply two-dimensional Fourier transform (2D-FT) for interferogram $I(\omega, t)$ (Eq. (2)) in the frequency–time variables (ω, t) ¹⁰¹

$$F(\tilde{\nu},\tau) = \sum_{m} \sum_{n} F_{mn}(\tilde{\nu},\tau).$$
(5)

where $\tilde{\nu} = 2\pi\nu$ is the cyclical frequency of the hologram domain, τ is time of the hologram domain.

Let us analyze the term in the right side of Eq. (5):

$$F_{mn}(\tilde{\nu},\tau) = \int_{0}^{\Delta t} \int_{\omega_0 - \frac{\Delta \omega}{2}}^{\omega_0 + \frac{\Delta \omega}{2}} I_{mn}(\omega,t) \exp[i(\tilde{\nu}t - \omega\tau)] dt d\omega.$$
(6)

We use linear approximation of horizontal wavenumber $h_m(\omega)$ as the following function 104 of frequency:



Figure 2. The modeling results of Fourier-hologram for different paths of source movement (4 m/s): 1 – source moves along arc between A and B; 2 – source moves from B to C; 3 – from C to D.

$$h_m(\omega) = h_m(\omega_0) + \frac{dh_m(\omega_0)}{d\omega}(\omega - \omega_0)$$
(7)

Then, we assume that modes with numbers close to the *l*-th mode interfere constructively. By considering the number of mode as a continuous variable, we can obtain

$$F_{mn}(\tilde{v},\tau) = A_m A_n^* \exp\left[i\left(\frac{\tilde{v}\Delta t}{2} - \tau\omega_0\right)\right] \Delta\omega\Delta t$$

$$\times \exp\left\{i\left[(m-n)\alpha\left(\frac{\Delta t}{2}v_r + r_0\right) + r_0(\tilde{v}/v_r)\right]\right\}$$

$$\times \frac{\sin\left\{\left[(r_0 + v_r t_{mn})(m-n)\frac{d\alpha}{d\omega} - \tau\right]\frac{\Delta\omega}{2}\right\}}{\left[(r_0 + v_r t_{mn})(m-n)\frac{d\alpha}{d\omega} - \tau\right]\frac{\Delta\omega}{2}}$$

$$\times \frac{\sin\left\{\left[v_r(m-n)\alpha + \tilde{v}\right]\frac{\Delta t}{2}\right\}}{\left[v_r(m-n)\alpha + \tilde{v}\right]\frac{\Delta t}{2}}$$
(8)

where $\alpha = dh_l(\omega_0)/dl$. The introduction of expansion Eq. (7) proves useful for interpreting the hologram structure. In reality, according to Eq. (7) $(d\alpha/d\omega)(m-n) = dh_{mn}(\omega_0)/d\omega$, $\alpha(m-n) = h_{mn}(\omega_0)$. Here $d\omega/dh_m = u_m$, is the group velocity of the *m*-th mode.

Hologram Eq. (5) is localized in two domains symmetrically located with respect to 109 the origin of the plane $(\tilde{\nu}, \tau)$. This feature of the hologram is the result of the function 110 symmetry (Eq. (8)): $F_{mn}(\tilde{v}, \tau) = F_{nm}(-\tilde{v}, -\tau)$. The hologram is located on on the τ -axis 111 of plane (\tilde{v}, τ) if the radial velocity $v_r = 0$ i.e., the source - receiver distance is constant 112 (Fig. 2, peak – 1). The hologram is located in quadrants II and IV of plane ($\tilde{\nu}, \tau$) if the radial 113 velocity $v_r > 0$ if the angle of the trajectory $0 \le \varphi < \pi/2$ (Fig. 2, peak – 2). The hologram is 114 located in quadrants I and III of plane (\tilde{v}, τ) if the radial velocity $v_r < 0$ i.e., the angle of 115 the trajectory $\pi/2 < \varphi \le \pi$ (Fig. 2, peak – 3). As a result, it is possible to estimate by the 116 hologram if the source is moving away from receiver or to receiver. 117

Let us estimate the main maxima positions of hologram as follows

$$\tau_{mn} = (r_0 + v_r t_{mn})(m - n) \frac{d\alpha}{d\omega}, \qquad (9)$$
$$\tilde{v}_{mn} = -v_r(m - n)\alpha.$$



Figure 3. The experimental normalized interferograms (a,b), holograms (c, d): (a,c) - the source motion along the arc between A and B; (b,d) - the source motion turn around B.

Thus, the positions of the focal spots maxima in the hologram are proportional to the radial velocity v_r and to the initial distance between the source and the receiver (r_0) .

The values t_{mn} are constricted to a small vicinity of some point t_1 in the observation 120 interval Δt (0 < t_1 < Δt) and it is possible to set $t_{mn} \approx t_1$. Here, qualitatively and 121 quantitatively, as seen below, the results remain quite reasonable. 122

4. Experimental Results

The results of experimental data processing are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. The dynamics of normalized values of interferogram Eq. (1) and hologram Eq. (7) for received signals are shown for different types of the source motion.

The interferogram in Fig. 3 (a) and the hologram in Fig. 3 (c) correspond to the movement of the source along the arc of radius $r_0 \approx 11 \text{ km}$ between point *A* and point *B*. One can see that interference bands are different from vertical lines. This implies that the source path differs from the arc of a circle. At the same time, the position of the main hologram peaks on the time axis (Fig. 3 (c)) indicates that the source radial velocity is zero. The presence of two peaks in the hologram (Fig. 3 (c)) indicates that the field is formed by three modes. It should be noted that the interferogram and hologram are identical for an immobile source and for the source moving along arc. Value of arc radius r_0 can be estimated from the formula Eq. (9) on the assumption that the radial velocity $v_r = 0$. Then, we obtain the following expression:

$$r_0 = \frac{2\tau_1}{1/u_3 - 1/u_1} \tag{10}$$

where u_i is the group velocity of the *i*-th mode. As follows from Fig. 3 (c), $\tau_1 = 0.168$ s. ¹²⁷ Under the experimental conditions at the reference frequency $f_0 = 100$ Hz, the group ¹²⁸



Figure 4. The experimental normalized interferograms (a,b), holograms (c, d): (a,c) - the source motion between B and C; (b,d) - the source motion between C and D.

velocities u_1 and u_3 are, respectively, 1462.8 m/s and 1399.5 m/s. As a result, we obtain 129 $r_0 = 10.9$ km.

The sound field interference pattern at the point B of the motion path along the arc of 131 a circle passes to a straight line towards the VSR Q_1 is shown in Fig. 3 (b). The break in the 132 band is observed in the interferogram (Fig. 3 (b)) at $t_i \approx 5$ min, which corresponds to the 133 source rotation near point B (see Fig. 1). The form of the hologram (Fig. 3 (d)) shows that 134 the source radial velocity turn is nonzero during the rotation. The coordinates of the main 135 peak in the hologram are $\tau_1 = 0.139$ s and $\nu_1 = 0.00186$ Hz. 136

Under the experimental conditions at the frequency $f_0 = 100$ Hz, the propagation 137 constants are $h_1 = 0.4245 \text{ m}^{-1}$ and $h_3 = 0.3995 \text{ m}^{-1}$ and the interference invariant is 138 $\beta = 1.2$. On the assumption that the interference peak frequency corresponding to the 139 instant $t_i \approx 5 \text{ min}$ is $f_1 = 110 \text{ Hz}$ (see Fig. 5(a)), we find that the radial velocity of the source 140 and its distance to the VSR Q_1 at the rotation point B are, respectively, $v_r = -0.92$ m/s and 141 r = 10.8 km. 142

The sound field interferogram and hologram for a source moving from point B to 143 point C (to VSR Q_1) are shown in Fig. 4 (a) and (c). 144

The sound field interferogram and hologram for a source moving from point C to 145 point D (away from VSR Q_1) are shown in Fig. 4 (b) and (d). 146

The interference patterns (Fig. 4 (a),(c)) are sets of straight-line localized bands. It 147 indicates that source motion direction and radial velocity are constant. 148

The bands slopes have opposite signs for different source direction. As compared with the case of a source moving along the arc from A to B, the holograms have more main peaks. That indicates the increasing the number of sound field modes. For a source motion to VSR Q_1 , the main-peak coordinates are $\tau_1 = 0.145$ s and $\nu_1 = 0.0032$ Hz (Fig. 4 (b)). For a source motion from the VSR Q_1 . $\tau_1 = 0.127$ s, $\nu_1 = 0.0035$ Hz (Fig. 4 (d)), As a result, we have the following estimates of the radial velocity and the distance to the source: $v_r = -1.67$ m/s

and r = 9.7 km for the case of source motion to VSR Q_1 and $v_r = 1.88$ m/s and r = 8.3 km for the case of source motion from away the VSR Q_1 . As one would expect, the slope ratio for the straight lines which contain the main peaks of the hologram is equal to the ratio of source radial velocities:

$$\gamma = -\frac{0.0315}{0.025} = -\frac{1.88}{1.67} = -1.26.$$

Fig. 3 (d) shows how the focal spots become diffuse when the path shape changes. The 149 focal spot size is minimum when a source moves along a circular or straight-line path Fig. 3 150 (c) and Fig. 4 (c),(d). when the interference band slope is constant. 151

At the same time, the peaks localization region is expanded at the point where the 152 path changes from an arc of a circle to a straight line (Fig. 3 (d)).

5. Conclusions

The results of the analysis of sound field interfer- ence pattern of a broadband source 155 in shallow water are presented in the paper. The experimental records are processed to 156 obtain interferogram for different paths of source motion. The Fourier-hologram is used 157 to analyze the experimental interferograms. It is shown in the paper that the hologram 158 allows us to coherently accumulate the sound intensity of interferogram in the narrow 159 area as fo- cal peaks along the line, passing through the origin. It is demonstrated in the 160 paper that position of focal spots in experimental hologram depends upon radial speed of 161 source, movement direction and distance from receiver. The position of the main hologram 162 peaks are on the time axis in the case of the source movement along the arc. It means that 163 source radial velocity is zero. The hologram peaks are located in quadrants I and III when the source moves towards the receiver. In the case of the source movement away from 165 the receiver, the hologram peaks are in quadrants II and IV. Estimations of source parameters are presented for different directions of source movement in the experiment. Good 167 consistency of the experimental and estimated values demonstrates the ef- ficiency of this 168 approach for solving source localization problems. Thus, it is possible to use interferograms 169 and holograms as a potential basis for applying holographic interferometry in the passive 170 location of the source. This approach allows to solve complex problem of detecting a source 171 and estimating its velocity, distance and depth by using only a single receiver. 172

Author Contributions: For research articles with several authors, a short paragraph specifying their 173 individual contributions must be provided. The following statements should be used "Conceptualiza-174 tion, X.X. and Y.Y.; methodology, X.X.; software, X.X.; validation, X.X., Y.Y. and Z.Z.; formal analysis, 175 X.X.; investigation, X.X.; resources, X.X.; data curation, X.X.; writing—original draft preparation, 176 X.X.; writing—review and editing, X.X.; visualization, X.X.; supervision, X.X.; project administration, 177 X.X.; funding acquisition, Y.Y. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the 178 manuscript.", please turn to the CRediT taxonomy for the term explanation. Authorship must be 179 limited to those who have contributed substantially to the work reported. 180

Funding: Please add: "This research received no external funding" or "This research was funded 181 by NAME OF FUNDER grant number XXX." and and "The APC was funded by XXX". Check 182 carefully that the details given are accurate and use the standard spelling of funding agency names at 183 https://search.crossref.org/funding, any errors may affect your future funding. 184

References

- Weston, D. E., and Stevens, K. J. (1972). "Interference of wide band sound in shallow water," J. Sound. Vib. 21(1), 57-64. 1.
- Chuprov, S. D. (1982). "Interference structure of a sound field in a layered ocean," in Ocean Acoustics Current State, (Nauka), pp. 2 71-91
- Grachev, G. A. (1993). "Theory of acoustic field invariants in layered waveguides,", Acoust. Phys. 39(1), 67–71. 3.
- 4 Orlov, E. F., and Sharonov, G. A. (1998). "Interference of sound waves in the ocean,", (Dal'nauka).
- 5 Kuperman, W. A. and D'Spain, G. L. (2002). "Ocean Acoustic Interference Phenomena and Signal Processing. AIP Conf. Proc. ed. by W. A. Kuperman and G. L. D'Spain," 621, (AIP, Melville, NY). 192
- Thode, A. M. (2000). "Source ranging with minimal environmental information using a virtual receiver and waveguide invariant 6. 193 theory," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 108(4), 1582–1594. 194

154

153

- Cockrell, K. L., and Schmidt, H. (2010). "Robust passive range estimation using the waveguide invariant," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 127(5), 2780–2789.
- Kuz'kin, V. M., Pereselkov, S. A., Kuznetsov, G. N., and Kaznachheev, I. V., (2017). "Noise source localization shallow water," Phys. 197 Wave Phenom. 25(2), 156–163.
- Kuz'kin, V. M., Pereselkov, S. A., Kuznetsov, G. N., and Kaznachheev, I. V., (2018). "An Interferometric Method for Detecting a Moving Sound Source with a Vector-Scalar Receiver," Acoustical Physics 64(1), 37–48.
- Quijanoa, J. E., Zurkb, L. M., and Rouseff, D. (2008). "Demonstration of the invariance principle for active sonar," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 123(3), 1329–1337.
- 11. Heaney, K. D. (2004). "Rapid geoacoustic characterization using a surface ship of opportunity," IEEE J. Ocean. Eng. 29(1), 88–99. 203
- Bonnel, J., Touze, G. Le., and Mars, J. I. Bonnel, J. (2013). "Physics-based timefrequency representations for underwater acoustics: Power class utilization with waveguide invariant approximation," IEEE Signal Process. Mag. 30(6), 120–129.
- Gall, Y. Le., and Bonnel, J. (2013). "Separation of moving ship striation patterns using physics-based filtering," Traitement du Signal 30(3-5), 149–168.
- 14. Baggeroer, A. B. (2002). "Estimation of the distribution of the interference invariant with seismic streamers," AIP Conf. Proc. 621, 208 151–170.
- 15. Rouseff, D., and Spindel, R. C. (2002). "Modeling the waveguide invariant as a distribution," AIP Conf. Proc. 621, 137–150.
- 16. Yang, T. C., (2003). "Beam intensity striations and applications," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 113(3), 1342–1352. 211